

JA



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/751,125	01/03/2004	Linggawati Tanamal	4001-0007 (ZM0515)	1756

43232 7590 03/23/2005

ZIMMER TECHNOLOGY - ROBERTS  
INDIANO, VAUGHN & ROBERTS  
1 NORTH PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE #850  
INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46204

EXAMINER

COMSTOCK, DAVID C

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3732

DATE MAILED: 03/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/751,125	TANAMAL ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	David Comstock	3732	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) David Comstock. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Jonathan Feuchtwang. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 16 March 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 6.


Identification of prior art discussed: Wilhelmy (5,722,977); Urbahns et al. (6,264,657).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

  
 KEVIN SHAYER  
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700



Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant proposed amending claim 1 to further recite that the cutting edge extends and tapers upwardly and perpendicularly away from the planar surface. With regard to claim 6, Applicant proposed defining the location of the protuberance as being centered on the surface in both the length and width directions. Examiner was persuaded that these amendments would overcome Wilhelmy and Urbahns et al, respectively. Further search and consideration will be required to determine whether the changes overcome other potential prior art references that could be applied.